Non-renewable resource exploitation, stock discovery and anticipations

We wish to compare how a similar increase in the stock of a non-renewable resource can affect its price and extraction paths when it is <u>anticipated</u> versus when it is <u>non-anticipated</u>. Use a four-quadrant graph to analyze this (See accompanying graphic file.)

- a) In the first case, assume that you are now at time t = 0 and that the stock size is S_0 . The stock is anticipated to increase by amount S_1 at a future specific date, say at date $t = t_0 > 0$. Assume that $S_0 + S_1 = \overline{S}$. (NB Depending on the relative sizes of S_0 and S_1 , there are two cases to consider.)
- b) In the second case, you are now at date t = 0 and the change occurs at that same future time $t = t_0 > 0$, but it is a total surprise.
- c) Compare the two cases and interpret.

Let the initial resource stock S(0) be equal to S_0 . Then, as long as $S_t > 0$, the optimal extraction path must respect Hotelling's rule

$$\frac{\dot{P}}{P} = r$$

AND THE RESOURCE CONSTRAINT

$$\int_0^T R_t dt = S_0.$$

This path is represented by curve $P_0 - A$ in the accompanying graphic sol-graph-stock-increase-anticipation.PDF.

(A) IT IS NOW <u>FULLY ANTICIPATED</u> THAT THE STOCK WILL INCREASE BY SOME AMOUNT S_1 AT SOME FUTURE TIME $t = t_0$. Let $S_0 + S_1 = \overline{S}$. Provided that

(1)
$$\int_0^{t_0} R'_t dt \le S_0,$$

price path $P'_0 - B$ must be optimal if it respects Hotelling's rule and if

$$\int_0^{T'} R'_t dt = \bar{S}.$$

It must be the optimal path because we would also choose that path if we had $S(0) = \overline{S}$ to start with. Hence, as long as constraint (1) is not binding, we would choose that same price path.

But what if constraint (1) is binding, i.e. along price path $P'_0 - B$, we have

(2)
$$\int_{0}^{t_{0}} R'_{t} dt > S_{0} t$$

Then the initial stock becomes exhausted before $t = t_0$ and the price jumps to the choke price k until the new stock becomes available at t_0 . This cannot be optimal since the jump in price provides an infinite return on the resource. Hence, the price must be increased at t = 0 in such a way that the initial stock becomes exactly depleted at $t = t_0$. This implies that $P''_{t_0} < P'_{t_0}$ since, provided $S_0 + S_1 = \bar{S}$ in both cases,

(3)
$$\int_0^{t_0} R_t'' dt < \int_0^{t_0} R_t' dt \Rightarrow \int_{t_0}^{T''} R_t'' dt > \int_{t_0}^{T'} R_t' dt.$$

This yields price path $P_0'' - C - D - E$ when constraint (2) holds.

(B) WHEN THE INCREASE IN STOCK IS <u>NOT ANTICIPATED AT ALL</u>, THEN THE RE-SOURCE IS INITIALLY EXPLOITED AS IF THE TOTAL STOCK WERE S_0 . THE INITIAL PRICE IS $P(0) = P_0$ AND THE PRICE FOLLOWS PRICE PATH $P_0 - A$ UP to $t = t_0$. At t_0 , the SUDDEN INCREASE IN STOCK LEADS TO A DROP IN PRICE, LEST SOME RESOURCES WILL BE LEFT IN THE GROUND ONCE THE CHOKE PRICE IS REACHED. NOTE THAT THE PRICE WILL DROP TO A LOWER VALUE THAN P_{t_0}'' SINCE SOME OF THE INITIAL RESERVES ARE STILL AVAILABLE AT t_0 , I.E. $S'''(t_0) > S''(t_0)$. THE RESULTING PRICE PATH IS REPRE-SENTED BY $P_0 - F - G - H$.