

**Economics of Natural Resources**  
ECO 6143 (Winter 2010)  
University of Ottawa  
55 laurier E, 10th floor  
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1N 6N5

**Professor:**  
Louis Hotte  
1-613-562-5800 ext. 1692  
louis.hotte@uottawa.ca  
<http://aix1.uottawa.ca/~lhott3/>

## PROBLEM SET 4

### 1. Fishery Dynamics and Market Structure

The natural growth of a fish stock during any year  $t$  is given by a logistic function denoted  $G(S_t)$ , where  $S_t$  is the fish stock size in tons at the beginning of year  $t$ . With a year  $t$  harvested quantity of  $h_t$  tons, the change in stock size between two years is

$$(1) \quad S_{t+1} - S_t = G(S_t) - h_t.$$

The cost of harvest is expressed as  $C(h_t, S_t)$ , with  $C_h(h_t, S_t) > 0$  and  $C_S(h_t, S_t) < 0$ . The unit selling price  $p_t$  of a ton of fish depends on the harvested quantity as per  $p_t = p(h_t)$ , with  $p'(h_t) < 0$ . (Note that the demand *schedule* is constant over time.) The fishery is exploited by a single firm with a discount rate  $r$ .

- Assume that the firm has a monopoly over the fish market and solve for its present-value maximizing problem. Give a “financial interpretation” of your optimality condition. (NB This problem is to be analyzed in discrete time.)
- How does the monopolist’s optimality condition differ from that of a price-taking firm?
- Give the monopolist’s steady-state equilibrium condition.
- How does the steady-state fish stock of the monopolist compare with that of a price-taking firm? (Hint: Write down the steady-state value  $G'(S)$  for the price-taking firm and check whether the monopolist would prefer to increase or decrease that value.)
- How do monopolists and environmental conservationists get along?

### 2. A tax on the catch in a steady-state fishery

A fishery is being exploited by a single owner. Total harvesting costs depend on both stock levels and harvesting rate in the following general form:  $C(h(t), S(t))$ , with  $C_h > 0$ ,  $C_S < 0$  and  $C_{hh} \geq 0$ . The unit price of fish is constant and equal to  $p$ . The owner’s discount rate is  $r$ . The fish stock varies with time according to the following differential equation:  $\dot{S}(t) = G(S(t)) - h(t)$ . The initial fish stock size is  $S_0$ .

- Solve for the owner’s present value maximizing conditions in steady-state. (*Use the Maximum Principle in continuous time.*)
- Assume that the *private* discount rate is very large, i.e.  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , while the *social* discount rate is finite, say  $\rho < \infty$ . Determine the unit tax on the catch to re-establish a socially optimal stock size.
- Characterize the steady-state for an open-access exploitation. Determine the unit tax on the catch that would reestablish optimality under open access. Compare with b) and discuss.

- d) Assume now that  $h(t) = \alpha x(t)S(t)$ , where  $x$  is effort and  $\alpha$  is a given productivity parameter. The constant unit cost of effort is  $c$ . Show that the infinite discount rate equilibrium is equivalent to open access. Discuss.

### 3. A renewable resource with existence value

A community dwells next to a renewable resource. The resource's natural growth rate follows the logistic function  $G(S(t))$ , with  $G''(S(t)) < 0$ . The total harvest rate at time  $t$  is denoted  $h(t)$ . The unit harvest cost is  $c(S(t))$ , with  $c'(S(t)) < 0$ , and the resource sells for a constant unit price  $p$ . In addition to its commercial value, the stock of the resource confers "existence" benefits to the community, which we denote as  $V(S(t))$ ,  $V'(S(t)) > 0$ . To simplify, we assume that  $V$  denotes a flow of instantaneous *aggregate* benefits to the community.

- a) Solve for the optimal use of the resource as if it were managed as a sole owner by the community; that is, maximize the present value of the sum of commercial and existence benefits as follows:

$$(2) \quad \max J = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta t} \{(p - c(S(t)))h_t + V(S(t))\} dt$$

Interpret the necessary conditions for a maximum. What is the meaning of the shadow-price of  $S(t)$ ?

- b) Characterize the steady-state. Show how existence benefits affect the optimal steady-state stock level?
- c) Imagine that the resource is sacred such that any decrease in its stock size has a dramatic effect on  $V(S)$ , i.e.  $V'(S)$  is very large. What would be the likely steady-state optimal stock level in that case?
- d) Assume now that the resource is non-renewable; that is,  $G(S(t)) = 0$ . Could you conceive of a steady-state with a positive stock of the resource? Show why or why not.
- e) Assume now that the renewable resource is exploited under free access by an *arbitrarily large number* of people that assign no existence value to the resource. Characterize the steady-state equilibrium. What is the effect of increasing the discount rate?