

$\frac{28 \times R}{1.37}$) The expenditure fcn obtained from a CES utility fcn is

$$e(\vec{p}, u) = u(p_1^r + p_2^r)^{1/r}$$

where $r = C/(C-1)$, $0 \neq C < 1$.

Theorem 1.7 says that $e(\vec{p}, u)$ must have the following properties:

① $e(\vec{p}, u) = 0$ when $u(\vec{x})$ is lowest?

Since $u(\vec{x}) = (x_1^C + x_2^C)^{1/C}$, the lowest value is when $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, which yields $u = 0$. Substituting into $e(\vec{p}, u)$ above, we do get $e(\vec{p}, 0) = 0$. ✓

② Continuous in $R_{++}^m \times U$?

$u(p_1^r + p_2^r)^{1/r}$ is continuous in u, p_1 , and p_2 . ✓

③ The function is increasing and unbounded above in u , $\forall \vec{p} \gg 0$?

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial u} = (p_1^r + p_2^r)^{1/r} > 0 \Rightarrow \text{increasing} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} e = +\infty \Rightarrow \text{unbounded above} \quad \checkmark$$

④ $e(\vec{p}, u)$ is increasing in $\vec{p}$?

$$\text{Is } u(p_1^{0r} + p_2^{0r})^{1/r} \geq u(p_1^{1r} + p_2^{1r})^{1/r}, \quad \forall \vec{p}^0 \geq \vec{p}^1?$$

(2)

Whether $r > 0$ or $r < 0$, we have the following:

$$(p_1^{0r} + p_2^{0r})^{1/r} \geq (p_1^{1r} + p_2^{1r})^{1/r} \quad \forall \vec{p}^0 \geq \vec{p}^1. \checkmark$$

⑤ Homogeneous of deg. 1 in $\vec{p}$?

$$\begin{aligned} e(t\vec{p}, u) &= u(t p_1^r + t p_2^r)^{1/r} = u[t^r (p_1^r + p_2^r)]^{1/r} \\ &= t u(p_1^r + p_2^r)^{1/r} = t e(\vec{p}, u). \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

⑥ Concave in $\vec{p}$?

Is the Hessian matrix of $e(\vec{p})$ negative semi-definite?

$$1 - r + r - 1 = 0.$$

We have:

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial p_1} = u \cdot \frac{1}{r} (p_1^r + p_2^r)^{\frac{1}{r}-1} \cdot r p_1^{r-1} = \frac{e(\vec{p}, u)}{p_1^{1-r} (p_1^r + p_2^r)} > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial p_1^2} &= \frac{1}{p_1^{1-r} (p_1^r + p_2^r)} \frac{\partial e}{\partial p_1} - \frac{e(\vec{p}, u)}{(p_1^{1-r} (p_1^r + p_2^r))^2} \cdot [(1-r) p_1^{-r} (p_1^r + p_2^r) + r] \\ &= \frac{e(\vec{p}, u)}{(p_1^{1-r} (p_1^r + p_2^r))^2} (1-r) \left(1 - \frac{p_1^r + p_2^r}{p_1^r}\right) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

It remains to be verified that

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial p_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial p_1 \partial p_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial p_2 \partial p_1} & \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial p_2^2} \end{vmatrix} > 0$$

...

2.2 R
1.39) $\alpha_i(p, y)$ is homo. of deg. 0 in $(\vec{p}, y)$.

Ray's identity: $\alpha_i = - \frac{\partial v / \partial p_i}{\partial v / \partial y}$

Theorem A2.6: If $f(\vec{x})$ is homo. of deg. k ,
then $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(t\vec{x}) = t^{k-1} \frac{\partial f(\vec{x})}{\partial x_i}$, $\forall i$.

PROOF: Since $v(\vec{p}, y)$ is homo. of deg. 0 in $(\vec{p}, y)$, then $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} v(t\vec{p}, ty) = t^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} v(\vec{p}, y)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} v(t\vec{p}, ty) = t^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} v(\vec{p}, y)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i(t\vec{p}, ty) = - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} v(t\vec{p}, ty)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial y} v(t\vec{p}, ty)} = - \frac{t^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} v(\vec{p}, y)}{t^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} v(\vec{p}, y)}$$

$$= \alpha_i(\vec{p}, y) \quad \underline{\text{QED}}$$

Q&R 1.41

①

Def. of a normal good i : $\Delta^+ y \Rightarrow \Delta^+ x_i$.

① Show that if $\Delta^+ y \Rightarrow \Delta^+ x_i$ then $\Delta^- p_i \Rightarrow \Delta^+ x_i$.

PROOF: From the Slutsky eqn, we have:

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial p_i} - x_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y} \quad [*]$$

We also know that the own-substitution effect must be negative, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial p_i} \leq 0.$$

Hence, if $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y} > 0$, the RHS of [*] must be negative. QED

② Show that if $\Delta^+ p_i \Rightarrow \Delta^+ x_i$ then $\Delta^+ y \Rightarrow \Delta^+ x_i$.

PROOF: Since $\frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial p_i} \leq 0$, the Slutsky eqn [*] tells that with $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_i} > 0$, it must be the case that $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y} < 0$. QED

③ Show that if $\Delta^- p_i \Rightarrow \Delta^+ x_i$ then it is not necessarily the case that $\Delta^+ y \Rightarrow \Delta^+ x_i$.

Let $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y} < 0$ while $x_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y} < -\frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial p_i}$. Then

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_i} < 0.$$

QED

- ④ Show that if $\Delta^+ y \Rightarrow \Delta^+ x_i$ it is not necessarily the case that $\Delta^+ p_i \Rightarrow \Delta^+ x_i$.

Assume that $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y} < 0$ while

$$-x_i \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial y} < -\frac{\partial x_i^h}{\partial p_i}. \quad \text{Then, according}$$

to the Slutsky eqn, we have

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial p_i} < 0. \quad \underline{\text{QED}}$$

J. & R. 1.53)

$$u(\vec{\alpha}) = A \prod_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad A > 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow u(\vec{\alpha}) = A \alpha_1^{\alpha_1} \alpha_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \alpha_m^{\alpha_m}.$$

a) Marshallian demand:

$$\max_{\{\alpha_i\}} u(\vec{\alpha}) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \vec{p}\vec{\alpha} = y.$$

$$L = u(\vec{\alpha}) + \lambda [y - \vec{p}\vec{\alpha}]$$

$$L_j = \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha_j} - \lambda p_j = 0, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, m.$$

$$\text{where } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha_j} = \frac{L_j A \prod_{i=1}^m \alpha_i^{\alpha_i}}{\alpha_j}$$

Note that $\lim_{\alpha_j \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha_j} = +\infty$. Hence, $\alpha_j^* > 0, \forall j$.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{L_j u(\vec{\alpha}^*)}{\alpha_j^*} = \lambda p_j, \quad \forall j.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\frac{L_j u(\vec{\alpha}^*)}{\alpha_j^*}}{\frac{L_h u(\vec{\alpha}^*)}{\alpha_h^*}} = \frac{p_j}{p_h}, \quad \forall j, h.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{L_j \alpha_h^*}{\alpha_j \alpha_h^*} = \frac{p_j}{p_h} \Rightarrow \alpha_j^* = \left(\frac{L_j}{L_h} \right) \left(\frac{p_h}{p_j} \right) \alpha_h^*.$$

Inserting in the budget constraint:

$$p_1 x_1^* + \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} p_1 x_1^* + \frac{\alpha_3}{\alpha_1} p_1 x_1^* + \dots + \frac{\alpha_m}{\alpha_1} p_1 x_1^* = y$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1^* = \frac{y}{\frac{p_1}{\alpha_1} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i} = \frac{\alpha_1 y}{p_1 \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i}$$

And similarly for all x_i^* :

$$x_i(\vec{p}, y) = \alpha_i \frac{y}{p_i} : \text{This is the Marshallian Demand.}$$

b) Indirect utility fctn:

$$v(\vec{p}, y) = u(\vec{x}(\vec{p}, y))$$

$$= A \left(\frac{\alpha_1 y}{p_1} \right)^{\alpha_1} \left(\frac{\alpha_2 y}{p_2} \right)^{\alpha_2} \dots \left(\frac{\alpha_m y}{p_m} \right)^{\alpha_m}$$

$$= A y \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{p_i} \right)^{\alpha_i} : \text{This is the indirect utility fctn.}$$

c) The expenditure fctn:

$$N(\vec{p}, e(\vec{p}, u)) = u$$

$$\Rightarrow A e(\vec{p}, u) \prod_i \left(\frac{L_i}{p_i} \right)^{L_i} = u$$

$$\Rightarrow e(\vec{p}, u) = \frac{u}{A} \prod_i \left(\frac{p_i}{L_i} \right)^{L_i}$$

d) The Hicksian Demand:

By Shephard's Lemma, we have

$$\alpha_j^h(\vec{p}, u) = \frac{\partial e}{\partial p_j}$$

$$= \frac{u}{A} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \left(\prod_i \left(\frac{p_i}{L_i} \right)^{L_i} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{u}{A} \left[\frac{L_j}{p_j} \prod_i \left(\frac{p_i}{L_i} \right)^{L_i} \right]$$

$$= \frac{u}{A} \left[\frac{L_j}{p_j} \cdot \frac{u}{A} \right]$$